# REPUBLIC OF KENYA IN THE HIGH COURT OF KENYA AT NAIROBI CONSTITUTIONAL AND HUMAN RIGHTS DIVISION

PETITION NO. 447 OF 2018.

10 JUN 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

THREATENED CONTRAVENTION OF FUNDAMENTAL

RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS UNDER ARTICLES 27, 28, 29, 31,

43(1)(a), 45(2), 49, 50(2) AND 53 (1) OF THE

CONSTITUTION OF KENYA

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF SECTION 26 OF THE

SEXUAL OFFENCES ACT NO. 6 OF 2006

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

DEFENCE OF THE CONSTITUTION UNDER ARTICLE 3(1)

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF KENYA

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

INTERPRETATION, ENFORCEMENT AND PROTECTION

OF BILL OF RIGHTS UNDER ARTICLES 19, 20, 22, 23, 24,

165, 258 AND 259 OF THE CONSTITUTION.

#### BETWEEN

EM	1 <sup>ST</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
SN	2 <sup>ND</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
SKM	3 <sup>RD</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
MA	4 <sup>TH</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
MR	5 <sup>TH</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
JW	6 <sup>TH</sup> PETITIONER/APPLICANT
KENYA LEGAL AND ETHICAL ISSUES NETWORK	•••
ON HIV & AIDS(KELIN)	7TH PETITIONER/APPLICANT

VERSUS

NOW ADVOCATES LLP
RECEIVED

2 1 JUN 2019

P. O. Dox 70678 - 00400 NAIROBI.

ATTORNEY GENERAL	.1st	RESPONDENT
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS2	ND	RESPONDENT

#### 15T RESPONDENTS' GROUNDS OF OPPOSITION

TAKE NOTICE that the 1st shall oppose the petition herein on the following GROUNDS:

- 1. <u>THAT</u> the petitioners has failed to demonstrate that the challenged section is unconstitutional or in any manner infringes any provisions of the constitution.
- 2. <u>THAT</u> the challenged provisions are clear, precise, and unambiguous and do not disclose any infringement of the provisions of the constitution.
- 3. <u>THAT</u> the Petitioner has failed to consider the history behind the enactment of the challenged provision in question. Thus any interpretation of these provisions should bear in mind the history, the desires and aspirations of the Kenyans on whom the Constitution vests the sovereign power.
- 4. <u>THAT</u> section 26 of The Sexual Offences Act is constitutional and that Parliament exercised its mandate in enacting the Act as such it was necessary to align the said provisions with the provisions of Articles 60 and 67 of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010.
- 5. <u>THAT</u> there is the general presumption that every Act of Parliament is constitutional and the burden of proof lies on every person who alleges otherwise.
- 6. <u>THAT</u> the object and purpose of the impugned statute must be determined for it is important to discern the intention expressed in the Act. Further, while examining whether a particular statutory provision is unconstitutional, the court must have regard not only to its purpose but also its effect and the purpose of section 26 of the sexual offences act was to address the intentional spread of HIV AIDS.
- 7. <u>THAT</u> the petition is misconceived, incompetent and bad in law and the orders sought by the petitioners are not tenable against the Respondents.

DATED at NAIROBI this day of 2019

ANNE WANJIKU MWANGI

SENIOR LITIGATION COUNSEL

FOR: THE HON. ATTORNEY GENERAL

### DRAWN & FILED BY

The Hon. Attorney General
State Law Office, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
P.O BOX 40112-00100
Harambee Avenue (AG/CPT /MLS/206/18)
NAIROBI

## TO BE SERVED UPON:

Now Advocates LLP Maisonette No. 1 & 4 30 Masaba Road Lower Hill P.O Box 70678-00400 NAIROBI.